POLS 318: Theories of IR Lecture 16 (03.15.2021): Democratic Peace Theory

Rotem Dvir

Texas A&M University rdvir@tamu.edu

Department of Political Science Spring 2021



Overview

- Quick review
- 2 Democracies in IR
- Political Institutions and war
- 4 Critics
- Extra Material

Review

What we covered last meeting?

- International treaties alliances.
- Importance of content.
- Alliance as information and signal for intention.
- Concessions for securing a strong ally.
- Arms control NPT and risks for war.
- Economic treaties FDI, WTO accession process.

Questions?? Email me!

Background

An Empirical regularity in search of Theoretical Foundations

- Why?
- Both democracies and non-democracies are war-prone.
- Yet, democratic/liberal states do not end in violent clashes.
- Is it the regime??

The Liberal view

MAIN CHARACTERISTICS

- Peaceful foreign policy towards other liberal states US and Britain (19th century relations).
- 2 'Imprudent' behavior towards non-liberal states.
 - Perpetual Peace (1795) Kant.
 - Ethical and liberal foundations.

The Liberal view

- Judicial freedom and separation of power.
- Individuals comply with the laws they set no tyranny.
- Liberal states expand peaceful relations across the globe.
- Establish cosmopolitan law: hospitality and cooperation.
- And war?
- Peace is an ethical duty, but driven by negative aspects of fear and force (French Revolution).
- Focus on individual rights and costs of conflict.

The Liberal view

- Facing other liberal states.
- Wars with non-liberal states: an 'obligation'.
- Material aspect → commerce cannot flourish in conflict.
- A direct explanation → who pays the costs of wars in democracies?
- Democratic leaders have incentives to refrain from conflict.
- Problems?
- Weak empirical evidence (1816-1965).
- Ignore intangibles → prestige, reputation, status.



The Normative view

- Domestic norms shape institutions and global behavior.
- Compromise, stability as standards of life.
- ullet Anarchy and survival of states o reciprocate to rivals' norms.
- Democracies no conflicts.
- Non-democracies conflict due to opposing norms.

The Structural view

- Slow process of going into war.
- Why?
- International disputes and the need for popular support.
- Mobilization challenge in democracies.
- Constitutional and legal constraints.

Seeking explanations

- Models may share predictions about outcomes.
- Potential differences:
 - Norms develop slow older vs. new democracies.
 - Variation in democratic structures presidential vs. coalition governments.

Testing theories (Maoz and Russett 1993)

- Data: dyads in conflict and crises (1946-1986).
- How do we measure theoretical concepts?
 - Democracy Polity score. PolityData
 - Domestic violence: political deaths and executions.
 - Economy: change in annual gross GDP.
 - Norms: cooperative and conflict events index.
- Findings support both models, stronger for democratic norms.

An institutional approach (Bueno de Mosquita et al. 1999)

- Model leaders' choices in foreign policy.
- Motivated by political survival.
- Not just democracies, any type of leader.

Problems of existing explanations

- Cannot explain all irregularities of the theory.
- Normative: ad-hoc, the causal direction?
- No norms when attacking weak states?
- Structural: should be valid for all wars.
- Weak empirical support.

An Institutional approach

SELECTORATE THEORY

- How leaders survival prospects shape their behavior?
- Selectorate, winning coalition size.
- Regime type and coalition size.
 - Democracy.
 - Non-democracies.
- Secure support with goods public and private.

Selectorate theory and war

- How much effort to win the conflict?
- Resources are limited.
- Outcomes affect public support and retain leaders.
- Regimes and political institutions.

Regimes and war

Democracy and war effort





Regimes and war

Dictators and war effort



Regimes and war

A Democratic peace?

- ullet Democracies in dispute o similar risks and effort.
- Rational decision resolve dispute peacefully.
- No loss of resources
- Lower risk for their political survival (policy failure).

Criticism

Democratic peace - a theory?

• Why important? leaders apply the logic.

Layne (1994)

- Assess the causal logic.
- Realism as a better predictor/explanation.
- Empirics case studies analysis.

Criticism

Main challenge

If public views are the main driver of conflict behavior for democracies - then they should be less war-prone against any regime, not just democracies.

Public opinion and democracies in war

- The Trent Affair (1861)
- US (the Union) Britain tensions.





USS San Jacinto

The Trent Affair - 1861

Public opinion or BOP?

- British public \rightarrow "war fever".
- Violation of international law.
- British government threat and war preparations. LordPalmerston
- The Union challenges of the civil war (1861).
- Public push to 'stand-up' against the Brits.
- US concedes, why?
- Two-front war, resources \rightarrow a realist view.



Public opinion and democracies in war

The Ruhr crisis (1923)

- France Weimar Germany tensions.
- German rejections of Versailles treaty, war reparations.
- French public and elites "Germanophobia".
- Pressure on government to act (1922).
- Annexation of Rhur region (1923).

The Ruhr Crisis - 1923



German Civil Resistance





The Ruhr Crisis - 1923

Public opinion or power?

- Limited violence, not real war.
- Limited civilian resistance against occupation.
- No large German military action. Why?
- German limited military and economic capabilities.
- France's pursuit of regional power and economic gains.
- ullet Resources, power o a realist view.

Recommended readings

More studies on democratic peace:

- Altman, David, Federico Rojas-de-Galarreta, and Francisco Urdinez. (2020). "An interactive model of democratic peace." Journal of Peace Research, 1-15.
- Farnham, Barbara. (2003). "The theory of democratic peace and threat perception." International studies quarterly 47, 3, 395-415.
- Ownes, Alexander B., and Mary Lauren Lilley. (2010). "Overt peace, covert war?: Covert intervention and the democratic peace." Security Studies 19, 2, 266-306.

The Trent Affair 1861

British PM - Lord Palmerston:

"I don't know whether you are going to stand this, but I'll be damned if I do"



Polity Scores over time

